Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.	no person	s are required to respond to a collection	t and Tr	PTO/SB/21 (03-03) Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031 rademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE formation unless it displays a valid OMB control number.			
TRANSMITTAL, FORM		Application Number	09/451,090				
		Filing Date	November 30, 1999				
		First Named Inventor	Sandhu				
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Art Unit	2155	2155			
·		Examiner Name	Khanh Dinh				
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	4	Attorney Docket Number	GMU	-16U			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addre Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)	ess	After Allowance Communication to a Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):			
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remar			MAY 0 2 2003 Technology Center 2100			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm David G. Grossman, Reg. or Individual	No. 42,60	09		*			

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING								
	spondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington		Postal Service wit	h sufficient postage as				
Typed or printed								
Signature			Date					

Signature Date

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

WIN O 1 THE UNIZED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#12 5-16-03

Appl. No.

09/451,090

Applicant

Sandhu et al.

Filed

November 30, 1999

Title

System and Apparatus for Storage and Transfer of Secure Data on Web

TC/A.U.

2155

Examiner

Khanh Dinh

Docket No.

GMU-16U

RECEIVED

MAY 0 2 2003

Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Technology Center 2100

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

This is a complete and timely response to the Examiner's Office action dated April 3, 2003, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire July 3, 2003. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing remarks.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the careful consideration of the present application for a patent thereon. Claims 79-117 are currently pending. Claims 79-82, 84, 97-100, 102, 108, 113, 116 and 117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rangarajan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,510,439 hereinafter referred to as "Rangarajan"). Claims 83, 85, 86, 87, 90-96, 101, 104-107, 109-111, and 114 are currently rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rangarajan in view of Wiser et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,385,596, hereinafter referred to as "Wiser").

Applicant also thanks the Examiner for the courtesy extended to applicant's representative during phone conversations on April 14, 2003, April 16, 2003, and April 21, 2003. During these conversations, applicants' representative informed the examiner that he thought that **Rangarajan**